

## Chapter 10: Asymptotic Evaluation

Samples  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  i.i.d.  $f(x|\theta)$ ,  $n$  large. We will see what happens if  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

- This assumption  $n \rightarrow \infty$  generally makes life easier.
- Because limit theorems become available, distributions can be found approximately. Limiting distributions are much simpler than actual distributions

The behaviors (including its distribution, bias, variance) of an estimator under  $n \rightarrow \infty$  are known as its *asymptotic* properties.

### 1 Consistency

Does the estimator converge/get closer to the parameter when  $n$  gets larger and larger?

**Definition:** Let  $T_n = T_n(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  be a sequence of estimators for  $\tau(\theta)$ . We say that  $T_n$  is **consistent** for estimating  $\tau(\theta)$  if

$$T_n \rightarrow_p \tau(\theta) \text{ under } P_\theta, \quad \forall \theta.$$

That is, given any  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|T_n - \tau(\theta)| > \epsilon) = 0$ .

How to prove consistency of  $\hat{\theta}$  for estimating  $\theta$ ?

- by definition (often complicated)
- Chebychev's Inequality.

$$P(|T_n - \tau(\theta)| > \epsilon) \leq \frac{E[T_n - \tau(\theta)]^2}{\epsilon^2}.$$

**Theorem 10.1.3**

If  $T_n$  is a sequence of estimators of  $\tau(\theta)$  satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Bias}_\theta(T_n) &= 0 \quad (\text{asymptotically unbiased}), \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Var}_\theta(T_n) &= 0,\end{aligned}$$

for all  $\theta$ , then  $T_n$  is consistent for  $\tau(\theta)$ . Here  $\text{Bias}_\theta(T_n) = E(T_n) - \theta$ .

**Theorem 10.1.5**

Let  $T_n$  be a consistent sequence of estimators of  $\tau(\theta)$ . Let  $a_n$  and  $b_n$  be a sequence constant satisfying  $a_n \rightarrow 1, b_n \rightarrow 0$ . Then the sequence  $U_n = a_n T_n + b_n$  is a consistent estimator of  $\tau(\theta)$ .

**Invariance Principle of Consistency**

- If  $T_n$  is consistent for  $\theta$  and  $g$  is a continuous function, then  $g(T_n)$  is consistent for  $g(\theta)$ .
- MME (method of moment estimator) is generally consistent.
- MLE (maximum likelihood estimator) is consistent.  
Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be i.i.d.  $f(x|\theta)$  and let  $\hat{\theta}_n$  be the MLE of  $\theta$ . Then  $\hat{\theta}_n$  is consistent for  $\theta$ .
- UMVUE (unbiased minimal variance estimator) is consistent.  
Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be i.i.d.  $f(x|\theta)$  and let  $T_n$  be the UMVUE of  $\tau(\theta)$ . Then  $T_n$  is consistent for  $\tau(\theta)$ .

**Example:** Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be i.i.d. with  $E(X) = \mu$  and  $\text{Var}(X) = \sigma^2$ , then

(1)  $\bar{X}_n$  is consistent for  $\mu$ ;

(2)  $S_n^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X}_n)^2 / (n - 1)$  is consistent for  $\sigma^2$ .

(3)  $\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X}_n)^2 / n$  is consistent for  $\sigma^2$ .

## 2 Asymptotic normality

A statistic  $T_n$  is *asymptotically normal* if

$$\sqrt{n}\{T_n - \tau(\theta)\} \rightarrow_d N(0, v(\theta)) \quad \text{for all } \theta.$$

- $\tau(\theta)$  is called the *asymptotic mean*;
- $v(\theta)$  is called the *asymptotic variance*.

We write  $T_n$  is  $AN(\tau(\theta), v(\theta)/n)$ ,

### Central Limit Theorem:

Assume  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  is i.i.d.  $f(x|\theta)$ , with finite mean  $\mu = \mu(\theta)$  and variance  $\sigma^2 = \sigma^2(\theta)$ . Then

$$\bar{X}_n \text{ is } AN(\mu(\theta), \sigma^2(\theta)/n),$$

### Delta method:

Assume  $T_n$  is  $AN(\theta, v(\theta)/n)$ . If a function  $g$  satisfies that  $g'(\theta) \neq 0$ , then

$$g(T_n) \text{ is } AN(g(\theta), [g'(\theta)]^2 v(\theta)/n).$$

Example: Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be iid  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ ,  $\mu \neq 0$ . Show the MLE of  $\mu^2$  is  $AN(a, b)$ , and specify its asymptotic mean  $a$  and variance  $b$ .

Example: Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be iid from Bernoulli( $p$ ), where  $p \neq \frac{1}{2}$ . Find the asymptotic mean and variance of  $\bar{X}(1 - \bar{X})$ .

### 3 Asymptotic Relative Efficiency (ARE)

If two estimators are both asymptotically unbiased and normal. Which is better? Can compare asymptotic variances.

**Definition:** If two estimators  $T_n$  and  $S_n$  satisfy

$$\begin{aligned}\sqrt{n}[T_n - \tau(\theta)] &\rightarrow_d N(0, \sigma_T^2), \\ \sqrt{n}[S_n - \tau(\theta)] &\rightarrow_d N(0, \sigma_S^2).\end{aligned}$$

The *asymptotic relative efficiency* (ARE) of  $T_n$  with respect to  $S_n$  is

$$\text{ARE}(T_n, S_n) = \frac{\sigma_S^2}{\sigma_T^2}.$$

If  $\text{ARE}(T_n, S_n) \leq 1, \forall \theta$ , then  $S_n$  is *asymptotically more efficient* than  $T_n$ .

**Example:** (ARE of two Poisson Estimators)

$X_1, \dots, X_n$  iid Poisson ( $\lambda$ ). Consider two estimator of  $P_\lambda(X = 0) = e^{-\lambda}$ . One estimator is  $Y_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n I(X_i = 0)$ , and the other is the MLE.

## 4 Asymptotic Efficiency

**Definition:** A sequence  $T_n$  is *asymptotically efficient* for  $\tau(\theta)$  if for all  $\theta \in \Theta$ ,

$$\sqrt{n}(T_n - \tau(\theta)) \rightarrow_d N\left(0, \frac{[\tau'(\theta)]^2}{I(\theta)}\right).$$

- The asymptotic variance of  $T_n$  achieves the Cramér-Rao lower bound.

**Theorem: Asymptotic efficiency of MLEs**

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be iid  $f(x|\theta)$ , and let  $\hat{\theta}$  be the MLE of  $\theta$ . Under some regularity conditions,  $\hat{\theta}$  is  $AN(\theta, 1/(nI(\theta)))$  or  $AN(\theta, I_n^{-1}(\theta))$ , i.e.

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta) \rightarrow_d N\left(0, \frac{1}{I(\theta)}\right),$$

for all  $\theta \in \Theta$ . Assume  $\tau(\theta)$  is continuous and differentiable in  $\theta$ . then

$$\sqrt{n}[\tau(\hat{\theta}) - \tau(\theta)] \rightarrow_d N\left(0, \frac{[\tau'(\theta)]^2}{I(\theta)}\right).$$

That is,  $\tau(\hat{\theta})$  is a consistent and asymptotic efficient estimator of  $\tau(\theta)$ .

### Approximating the variance

For finite sample size  $n$ , let  $\hat{\theta}$  be the MLE. The variance of  $\tau(\hat{\theta})$  can be approximated as

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Var}\left(\tau(\hat{\theta})\right) &\approx \frac{[\tau'(\theta)]^2}{I_n(\theta)} \quad (\text{asymptotic variance}) \\ &\approx \frac{[\tau'(\hat{\theta})]^2}{I_n(\hat{\theta})} \quad (\text{plug in the estimate}). \\ \text{Var}\left(\tau(\hat{\theta})\right) &\approx \frac{[\tau'(\theta)]^2}{\text{E}_\theta\left(-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\theta^2} \log L(\theta|\mathbf{X})\right)} \\ &\approx \frac{[\tau'(\hat{\theta})]^2}{-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\theta^2} \log L(\theta|\mathbf{X})|_{\theta=\hat{\theta}}}.\end{aligned}$$

The denominator is called *observed information number*.

**Example:** (Approximate Binomial Variance)

$X_1, \dots, X_n$  iid from  $\text{Bin}(1, p)$ . Calculate the variance of the MLE of  $p$ .

(ii) Calculate the variance of the MLE of the odds  $p/(1 - p)$ .

## 5 Highlights of Chapter 5

1)  $W_n$  **converges in probability** to a constant  $c$ , i.e.  $W_n \rightarrow_p c$

$$P(|W_n - c| > \epsilon) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{for all } \epsilon > 0.$$

2) **Weak Law of Large Numbers:**

If  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are iid with  $E(X) = \mu$  and  $\text{Var}(X) = \sigma^2 < \infty$ . Then

$$\bar{X}_n \rightarrow_p \mu.$$

3) **Central Limit Theorem:**

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be iid with  $E(X) = \mu$  and  $0 < \text{Var}(X) = \sigma^2 < \infty$ . Then

$$\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \mu) \rightarrow_d N(0, \sigma^2).$$

Thus the central limit theorem says that

$$P\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \mu)}{\sigma} \leq x\right) \rightarrow \Phi(x), \quad \forall x,$$

where  $\Phi$  stands for the standard normal cdf.

4) **Slutsky's theorem:**

If  $W_n \rightarrow_d W$  and  $Z_n \rightarrow_p c$ , then

$$W_n + Z_n \rightarrow_d W + c; \quad W_n Z_n \rightarrow_d cW; \quad W_n/Z_n \rightarrow_d W/c \text{ if } c \neq 0.$$

5) Let  $A_n \rightarrow a, B_n \rightarrow b, X_n \rightarrow_p x$ , then  $A_n + B_n X_n \rightarrow_p a + bx$ .

6) Suppose  $g$  is continuous, then

(i)  $W_n \rightarrow_d W$  implies that  $g(W_n) \rightarrow_d g(W)$ .

(ii)  $W_n \rightarrow_p W$  implies that  $g(W_n) \rightarrow_p g(W)$ .

7) **First-Order Delta method:**

Let  $W_n$  be a sequence of random variables satisfying  $\sqrt{n}(W_n - \theta) \rightarrow_d N(0, \sigma^2)$ . For a given function  $g$ , suppose  $g'(\theta)$  exists and not equal to zero. Then

$$\sqrt{n}[g(W_n) - g(\theta)] \rightarrow_d N(0, \sigma^2[g'(\theta)]^2)$$

8) **Second-order Delta method:**

Let  $W_n$  be a sequence of random variables satisfying  $\sqrt{n}(W_n - \theta) \rightarrow_d N(0, \sigma^2)$ . For a given function  $g$ , suppose  $g'(\theta) = 0$  and  $g''(\theta) \neq 0$ . Then

$$n[g(W_n) - g(\theta)] \rightarrow_d \sigma^2 \frac{g''(\theta)}{2} \chi_1^2.$$

9) **Approximate Mean and Variance:**

Suppose  $E(X) = \mu$  and  $\text{Var}(X) = \sigma^2$ . We want to estimate mean and variance of the function  $g(X)$ .

Using the first-order Taylor expansion

$$g(X) \approx g(\mu) + g'(\mu)(X - \mu).$$

Then we approximately have

$$\begin{aligned} E_\mu[g(X)] &\approx g(\mu), \\ \text{Var}_\mu[g(X)] &\approx [g'(\mu)]^2 \sigma^2. \end{aligned}$$