

Status Report Dynamic Modelling of Turbine Blade Vibrations

Engineering Methodology Working Group
SAMSI 2007

February 8, 2007

Lexicon

$\mathbf{x}_t = (\omega_t, \phi)$	The simulator inputs at time t including ω_t the forcing frequency and ϕ the physical system parameters.
\mathbf{y}_t	The observed out put of the system at time t . This is the output from the simulator.
ξ_t	The system states at time t
$\mathbf{F}(\theta)$	The transition matrix containing the parameters ϕ .
\mathbf{G}	The input matrix describing how the known input frequency ω_t enters the emulator.
\mathbf{H}	The observation matrix which is known and describes which process variables are observed.
ϵ_t	The error in the emulator, possible bias, possibly the discretization error $\epsilon \sim N(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{Q}_t)$.
η_t	Observation error (?) $\eta \sim N(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{R}_t)$

The simulator is written in generic terms as

$$\mathbf{y}_t = f(\mathbf{x}_t)$$

The emulator is then written as

$$\boldsymbol{\xi}_t = \mathbf{F}(\boldsymbol{\theta})\boldsymbol{\xi}_{t-1} + \mathbf{G}\boldsymbol{\omega}_t + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_t$$

$$\mathbf{y}_t = \mathbf{H}\boldsymbol{\xi}_t + \boldsymbol{\eta}_t$$

Status

We assume that Rolls Royce has a model

$$\mathbf{y}_t = f(\mathbf{x}_t)$$

That is complex and expensive.

We want to construct an emulator based on a state-space model

$$\begin{aligned}\boldsymbol{\xi}_t &= \mathbf{F}(\boldsymbol{\theta})\boldsymbol{\xi}_{t-1} + \mathbf{G}\omega_t + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_t \\ \mathbf{y}_t &= \mathbf{H}\boldsymbol{\xi}_t + \boldsymbol{\eta}_t\end{aligned}$$

We consider for now that \mathbf{G} and \mathbf{H} are known. The matrices $\mathbf{F}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$, \mathbf{Q}_t and \mathbf{R}_t are unknown and need to be estimated. The relationship between $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ and $\boldsymbol{\phi}$ is not known.

The solution to this problem requires two steps

1. Find the appropriate $\mathbf{F}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$, \mathbf{Q}_t and \mathbf{R}_t in order to construct a good emulator.
2. Define the relationship between $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ and $\boldsymbol{\phi}$ in order to allow the emulator to be used to predict new behavior for the system given new \mathbf{x}_t .

Part 1 can be achieved using forward filtering backwards sampling. This is essentially using the Kalman Filter inside a Gibbs sampler, the details of this still need to be worked out by Peter and myself the reference for this is Frühwirth and Schnatter (1994). The Kalman Filter is a Gaussian Process, so this method should have good emulator characteristics.

Part 2 is the more difficult portion and may require a deeper understanding of the dynamic FEM model used as the simulator. There are some promising references Hung and Senturia (1999).