

**Project 2 of ST 755, Spring 2008**

**Due: Thursday, 3/20/2008**

1. Consider the following special linear mixed model

$$Y_{ij} = \beta_0 + b_i + e_{ij} \quad i = 1, \dots, m, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

where  $b_i \sim N(0, \sigma_b^2)$  and  $e_{ij} \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} N(0, \sigma_e^2)$ , independent of  $b_i$ . Do the following:

(a) Show that

$$\Phi(\theta) = (X^T V^{-1} X)^{-1} = (n\sigma_b^2 + \sigma_e^2)/(mn).$$

(b) Find the asymptotic variance matrix for the MLEs of  $(\sigma_b^2, \sigma_e^2)$ . Note that you can write

$$(\sigma_b^2 11^T + \sigma_e^2 I)^{-1} = \sigma_e^{-2} (I - r 11^T),$$

where  $r = \sigma_b^2 / (n\sigma_b^2 + \sigma_e^2)$ .

(c) Using Satterthwaite approach, find the denominator degrees of freedom for testing the hypothesis  $H_0 : \beta_0 = c$ .

2. Consider a special case of Poisson regression. Suppose there are  $m$  subjects in the study sample with  $n$  observations per subject. The response  $y_{ij}$  is assumed to be a realization from the Poisson distribution with mean  $\mu_{ij}$  that satisfies

$$\log(\mu_{ij}) = \alpha_0 + x_j \alpha_1 \quad i = 1, \dots, m, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Although the data from the same individuals are possibly correlated, we can still obtain consistent estimates of  $\alpha_0$  and  $\alpha_1$  by ignoring the correlation (this approach is often called GEE, see Diggle, Liang and Zeger, for example). Denote by  $\hat{\alpha}_0, \hat{\alpha}_1$  the estimates of  $\alpha_0$  and  $\alpha_1$  by assuming the data are independent. Show that  $\hat{\alpha}_0, \hat{\alpha}_1$  satisfy the following equation

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^n (\bar{y}_{.j} - e^{\alpha_0 + x_j \alpha_1}) &= 0, \\ \sum_{j=1}^n x_j (\bar{y}_{.j} - e^{\alpha_0 + x_j \alpha_1}) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

3. Now consider a GLMM for the same data given in the previous problem. Conditional on  $b_i \sim N(0, \theta)$ ,  $y_{ij}$  are assumed to be independent observations from the Poisson distribution with mean  $\mu_{ij}^b$  that satisfies

$$\log(\mu_{ij}) = \beta_0 + x_j\beta_1 + b_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, m, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Let us consider the PQL estimates  $\hat{\beta}_0$ ,  $\hat{\beta}_1$  and  $\hat{b}_i$  of  $\beta_0$ ,  $\beta_1$  and  $b_i$  for any estimate of  $\theta$ . Let  $\hat{\beta}_0^* = \hat{\beta}_0 + \log(\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m e^{\hat{b}_i})$ . Show that  $(\hat{\beta}_0^*, \hat{\beta}_1)$  satisfy the same equation as  $(\hat{\alpha}_0, \hat{\alpha}_1)$  and hence show that  $\hat{\beta}_1 = \hat{\alpha}_1$ .

REMARK: The above result is very important. We know that PQL estimates are generally biased and GEE estimates are consistent. The above result indicates that in the special case we considered here, the PQL estimate of the regression coefficient is **consistent!**